# Local Government OMBUDSMAN

# The Local Government Ombudsman's Annual Letter

# **Wyre Forest District Council**

for the year ended 31 March 2008

The Local Government Ombudsman (LGO) provides a free, independent and impartial service. We consider complaints about the administrative actions of councils and some other authorities. We cannot question what a council has done simply because someone does not agree with it. If we find something has gone wrong, such as poor service, service failure, delay or bad advice, and that a person has suffered as a result, the Ombudsmen aim to get it put right by recommending a suitable remedy. The LGO also uses the findings from investigation work to help authorities provide better public services through initiatives such as special reports, training and annual letters.

#### Annual Letter 2007/08 - Introduction

This annual letter provides a summary of the complaints we have received about Wyre Forest District Council. We have included comments on the authority's performance and complaint-handling arrangements, where possible, so they can assist with your service improvement.

I hope that the letter will be a useful addition to other information your authority holds on how people experience or perceive your services.

Two attachments form an integral part of this letter: statistical data covering a three year period and a note to help the interpretation of the statistics.

#### Complaints received

#### Volume

We received 12 complaints against your Council during the year, three fewer than in the previous year. This sort of fluctuation is normal year on year.

#### Character

As was the case last year, the highest number of complaints was about planning. Eight of the 12 complaints related to planning applications. Two were about public finance, one was a housing complaint and the other about licensing for a music event.

#### **Decisions on complaints**

#### Reports and local settlements

When we complete an investigation we issue a report. For the third consecutive year I issued no reports against your council.

A 'local settlement' is a complaint where, during the course of our investigation, the Council has agreed to take some action which we consider is a satisfactory response to the complaint. The investigation is then discontinued. In 2007/08 the Local Government Ombudsmen determined some 27% of complaints by local settlement (excluding 'premature' complaints - where councils have not had a proper chance to deal with them - and those outside our jurisdiction).

None of the decisions I made during the year required a local settlement. I congratulate the Council on its performance here.

#### Other findings

Two complaints were considered to be premature and they were referred back to be considered through your Council's complaints procedures. This is a reduction from six such complaints last year.

Three more complaints were not pursued because I had seen no evidence of maladministration. In two more cases I exercised my discretion not to pursue an investigation into the complaints and I took the view that the remaining two were outside my jurisdiction.

#### Your Council's complaints procedure and handling of complaints

The percentage of complaints which were premature was 22%, below the national average of 27%. This suggests that complainants are aware of the Council's complaints process and are using it effectively. Neither of the premature complaints was subsequently submitted to me as a complaint. The reduction in the number of premature complaints I received suggests that your complaints procedure has become more visible to your citizens.

#### Liaison with the Local Government Ombudsman

We made enquiries on five complaints during the year and the average number of days it took the Council to respond was 22.6 days. This is an improvement on last year when the figure was 28.6 days and is now within our target of 28 days. This is commendable.

### Training in complaint handling

Part of our role is to provide advice and guidance about good administrative practice. We offer training courses for all levels of local authority staff in complaints handling and investigation. This year we carried out a detailed evaluation of the training with councils that have been trained over the past three years. The results are very positive.

The range of courses is expanding in response to demand. In addition to the generic Good Complaint Handling (identifying and processing complaints) and Effective Complaint Handling (investigation and resolution) we now offer these courses specifically for social services staff and a course on reviewing complaints for social care review panel members. We can run open courses for groups of staff from different smaller authorities and also customise courses to meet your Council's specific requirements.

All courses are presented by an experienced investigator so participants benefit from their knowledge and expertise of complaint handling.

I have enclosed some information on the full range of courses available together with contact details for enquiries and any further bookings.

#### LGO developments

We launched the LGO Advice Team in April, providing a first contact service for all enquirers and new complainants. Demand for the service has been high. Our team of advisers, trained to provide comprehensive information and advice, have dealt with many thousands of calls since the service started.

The team handles complaints submitted by telephone, email or text, as well as in writing. This new power to accept complaints other than in writing was one of the provisions of the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act, which also came into force in April. Our experience of implementing other provisions in the Act, such as complaints about service failure and apparent maladministration, is being kept under review and will be subject to further discussion. Any feedback from your Council would be welcome.

Last year we published two special reports providing advice and guidance on 'applications for prior approval of telecommunications masts' and 'citizen redress in local partnerships'. I would appreciate your feedback on these, particularly on any complaints protocols put in place as part of the overall governance arrangements for partnerships your Council has set up.

### **Conclusions and general observations**

I welcome this opportunity to give you my reflections about the complaints my office has dealt with over the past year. I hope that you find the information and assessment provided useful when seeking improvements to your Council's services.

J R White Local Government Ombudsman The Oaks No2 Westwood Way Westwood Business Park Coventry CV4 8JB

18 June 2008

Enc: Statistical data

Note on interpretation of statistics

Leaflet on training courses (with posted copy only)

Complaints received by subject area	Housing	Other	Planning & building control	Public finance	Transport and highways	Total
01/04/2007 -	1	1	8	2	0	12
31/03/2008 2006 / 2007	2	5	7	1	0	15
2005 / 2006	0	3	3	0	1	7

**Note:** these figures will include complaints that were made prematurely to the Ombudsman and which we referred back to the authority for consideration.

Decisions	MI reps	LS	M reps	NM reps	No mal	Omb disc	Outside jurisdiction	Premature complaints	Total excl premature	Total	
01/04/2007 - 31/03/2008	0	0	0	0	3	2	2	2	7	9	
2006 / 2007	0	1	0	0	3	5	1	6	10	16	
2005 / 2006	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	0	5	5	

See attached notes for an explanation of the headings in this table.

	FIRST ENQUIRIES				
Response times	No. of First Enquiries	Avg no. of days to respond			
01/04/2007 - 31/03/2008	5	22.6			
2006 / 2007	7	28.6			
2005 / 2006	2	29.0			

## Average local authority response times 01/04/2007 to 31/03/2008

Types of authority	<= 28 days	29 - 35 days	> = 36 days
	%	%	%
District Councils	56.4	24.6	19.1
Unitary Authorities	41.3	50.0	8.7
Metropolitan Authorities	58.3	30.6	11.1
County Councils	47.1	38.2	14.7
London Boroughs	45.5	27.3	27.3
National Park Authorities	71.4	28.6	0.0

Printed: 07/05/2008 11:45